



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/534,670   | 10/19/2005  | Harri Kiljander      | 4208-4252           | 6528             |
| 27123  | 7590        | 06/03/2008           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.<br>3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER<br>NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101 |             |                      | BELOUSOV, ANDREY    |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2174                |                  |
|  |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 06/03/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com  
Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com  
jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

This action is responsive to the After Final Amendment filed on May 21, 2008.

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 21, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the rejection of claim 1 based on a combination of features of the sub-menu discussed in the "Menus" section of page 4 of NeXT with features of the icons in the application dock described in the "Application Icons" section on page 5 of NeXT is improper, because these are two distinct aspects. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, because claim 1 likewise treats the accessed menu distinctly, or to put it another way, without association to the "a list of menu options." The Examiner notes that there is patentable difference between a limitation to "compile a list of menu options" and "compile a list of menu options *from the accessed menu.*"